DECLARATION OF NON-ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REMORT

(PCT Article 17(2)(a), Rules 13ter.1(c) and Rule 39)

oplicant's or agent's file reference		DATION	Date of mailing(day/month/year)
	IMPORTANT DECL	ADATION	14/02/2005
PHNL031226WO	International filing date(day/m	onth/year)	(Earliest) Priority date (day/month/year)
ternational application No.		/10/2004	17/10/2003
PCT/IB2004/052046 ternational Patent Classification (IPC) or	noth national classification and	IPC	
	JOST 112121121		
311B27/30C			
pplicant	DONTER N V		
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELEC	TRONICS N.V.		
and the products of to schemes, rules or m g. schemes, rules or m h. schemes, rules or m i. methods for treatme i. methods for incomputer programs i. methods for incomputer incomputer i. methods for incom	ational application relates to: I processes for the production of such processes. Whethods of doing business, sethods of performing purely methods of performing purely methods of playing games. Int of the human body by surger and of the animal body by surger practised on the human or anim of information. For which this International spearats of the International applicating or parried out: The claims and/or amino acid sequence list revers a meaningful search for has not been furnished or does adable form has not been furnished or does adable form has not been furnished or does adable form has not been furnished.	If plants and animinate acts. The state of	is not equipped to search prior art. In prescribed requirements prevents a the standard provided for in Annex C of the but the standard. It is elisting to comply with the technical revents a meaningful search from being
5. Further comments:	see fulther informa		
C. I Silver Commence			
		Authorized office	
Name and mailing address of the Inte	mational Searching Authority		
European Patent Office, NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	P.B. 56 16 Pateritiaan 2	Patrici	a Klingens-Herklots

out (Art. 17(2)(a)(ii) PCT).

The claims are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion can be formed on the novelty and inventive step of the claimed invention (Art. 34(4)(a)(ii) PCT). The claimed invention is a method and an apparatus for reproducing information from a multi-layer record carrier comprising control data indicating that information has to be reproduced in a non-seamless manner at a layer boundary. However, the description lacks a disclosure of mechanical, physical and optical characteristics of the carrier, of the format of the (control) data and of the volume and file structure of the data on such a record carrier. No publicly available disclosure of a multi-layer record carrier comprising such control data, and of an apparatus/method for reproducing information therefrom, was referred to in the application or belonged to the common general knowledge at the The description refers to the DVD-Video standard. Probably this standard contains the information necessary to carry out the invention. The established DVD standards defining the DVD (control) data format and volume and file structure are sold under a non-disclosure agreement by the DVD forum (see http://www.dvdfllc.co.jp). Therefore the DVD standards are not available to the public in the sense of Rule 33.1(a) PCT. In view of the above, the invention is insufficiently disclosed, contrary to Art. 5 and Rule 5.1(a)(v) PCT. A meaningful search can not be carried

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.